



Final Report
Evaluation of Soil Rx
For Hydrocarbon Remediation

Study Completed In Cooperation With
OES Industries
Somerset Energy Refining/Greenhills Environmental
3 Tier Technologies LLC

September 2009

Jeff Stainfield, OES Industries
Daniel J Burdette, 3 Tier Technologies LLC
Mike Mitchell, Somerset Energy Refining

Objective:

To evaluate the performance of **Soil Rx** (A specially formulated blend of activated humic acid, an ultra-high concentration of live synergistic bacteria, and a readily biodegradable natural enzyme) on the remediation of various hydrocarbon soil contaminations.

Location:

Somerset Energy Refining
Somerset Kentucky

**Hydrocarbon
Types:**

Crude Oil 2 & Gasoline

Plot Design:

The trial consisted of two framed 4' X 4' treatment areas within a crude oil spill area and a gasoline spill area.

**Application
Method:**

The product was mixed at a 20 to 1 ratio with water (32 ounces of **Soil Rx** with 5 gallons of water) in a five gallon bucket. Each plot received 2.5 gallons of diluted product using a watering can. This drench method was used to mimic application by a water truck and/or large volume area application.

Treatments:

Each plot received the same treatment product dilution:
Day 1: 2.5 gallons of pre-diluted **Soil Rx** as specified above
Day 5: 2.5 gallons of pre-diluted **Soil Rx** as specified above

Data Collection:

Each location had a composite soil sample taken prior to the initial treatment, a second sample taken on Day 5 prior to the second treatment and again on day 14. The gasoline treatment area was tested using Method 8021B and the crude oil treatment area was tested using Method 8021B & 8270C. All testing was completed by Environmental Science Corporation in Mt. Juliet Tennessee.

**Product
Development
Team:**

Somerset Energy Refining / Greenhills Environmental
Mike Mitchell
600 Monticello Street
Somerset, KY 42501

OES Industries
Jeff Stainfield
5786 Elizabeth Ann Way
Fort Myers, FL 33912

3 Tier Technologies LLC
Daniel J Burdette
2302 Mercator Drive, Suite 102
Orlando, FL 32807

Testing Results:**Crude Oil – Collection Date 8/31/09**

Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Method	Date Tested
Benzene	15.	0.25	8021B	09/08/09
Toluene	54.	2.5	8021B	09/08/09
Ethylbenzene	33.	0.25	8021B	09/08/09
Total Xylene	190.	0.75	8021B	09/08/09
<u>Surrogate Recovery (%)</u>				
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluena (PID)	96.1		8021B	09/08/09
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon				
Extraction Date	09/03/09		8270C	09/08/09
<u>Carcinogenic PAH</u>				
Benzo (a) anthracene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Benzo (a) pyrene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Benzo (b) flouranthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Benzo (k) flouranthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Indeno (1,2,3 –cd) pyrene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
<u>NonCarcinogenic PAH</u>				
Acenaphthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Acenaphthylene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Anthracene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Flouranthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Flourens	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Phenanthrene	15.	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Pyrene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
<u>Other PAH</u>				
Chrysene	BDL	6.6	8270C	09/08/09
Naphthalene	27.	6.6	8270C	09/08/09

Crude Oil – Collection Date 9/23/09

Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Method	Date Tested
Benzene	0.15	0.25	8021B	10/03/09
Toluene	2.5	2.5	8021B	10/02/09
Ethylbenzene	3.8	0.25	8021B	10/02/09
Total Xylene	68.	0.75	8021B	10/02/09
<u>Surrogate Recovery (%)</u>				
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluena (PID)	101.		8021B	10/03/09
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon				
Extraction Date	10/01/09		8270C	10/03/09
<u>Carcinogenic PAH</u>				
Benzo (a) anthracene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Benzo (a) pyrene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Benzo (b) flouranthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Benzo (k) flouranthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Indeno (1,2,3 –cd) pyrene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
<u>NonCarcinogenic PAH</u>				
Acenaphthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Acenaphthylene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Anthracene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Flouranthene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Flourens	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Phenanthrene	1.0	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Pyrene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
<u>Other PAH</u>				
Chrysene	BDL	6.6	8270C	10/03/09
Naphthalene	1.3	6.6	8270C	10/03/09

Crude Oil – Collection Date 10/02/09

Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Method	Date Tested
Benzene	0.13	0.10	8021B	10/12/09
Toluene	1.8	1.0	8021B	10/12/09
Ethylbenzene	4.4	0.10	8021B	10/12/09
Total Xylene	45.	0.30	8021B	10/12/09
<u>Surrogate Recovery (%)</u>				
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluena (PID)	97.9		8021B	10/12/09
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon				
Extraction Date	10/07/09		8270C	10/11/09
<u>Carcinogenic PAH</u>				
Benzo (a) anthracene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Benzo (a) pyrene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Benzo (b) flouranthene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Benzo (k) flouranthene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Indeno (1,2,3 –cd) pyrene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
<u>NonCarcinogenic PAH</u>				
Acenaphthene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Acenaphthylene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Anthracene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Flouranthene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Flourens	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Phenanthrene	2.7	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Pyrene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
<u>Other PAH</u>				
Chrysene	BDL	1.6	8270C	10/11/09
Naphthalene	4.5	1.6	8270C	10/11/09

Gasoline – Collection Date 8/31/09

Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Method	Date Tested
Benzene	140	2.5	8021B	09/08/09
Toluene	2000	50.	8021B	09/08/09
Ethylbenzene	810	2.5	8021B	09/08/09
Total Xylene	5500	15.	8021B	09/08/09
<u>Surrogate Recovery (%)</u>				
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluena (PID)	97.9		8021B	09/08/09

Gasoline – Collection Date 9/23/09

Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Method	Date Tested
Benzene	BDL	0.025	8021B	09/30/09
Toluene	BDL	0.25	8021B	09/30/09
Ethylbenzene	0.52	0.025	8021B	09/30/09
Total Xylene	7.6	0.075	8021B	09/30/09
<u>Surrogate Recovery (%)</u>				
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluena (PID)	99.1		8021B	09/30/09

Gasoline – Collection Date 10/02/09

Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Method	Date Tested
Benzene	BDL	0.025	8021B	10/12/09
Toluene	BDL	0.25	8021B	10/12/09
Ethylbenzene	0.64	0.025	8021B	10/12/09
Total Xylene	8.4	0.075	8021B	10/12/09
<u>Surrogate Recovery (%)</u>				
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluena (PID)	97.7		8021B	10/12/09

Summary:

In the Crude Oil Site, Benzene was reduced from 15 mg/kg to 0.13 mg/kg, Toluene was reduced from 54 mg/kg to 1.8 mg/kg, Ethylbenzene was reduced from 33 mg/kg to 4.4 mg/kg, and Total Xylene was reduced from 190 mg/kg to 45 mg/kg. Though all levels did not exceed the EPA Minimum Standard, all contaminate levels were reduced to below the EPA Minimum Soil Contamination level after only five days. This remediation process has converted the hydrocarbon contaminants into biologically produced enzymes, CO₂, and H₂O.

In the Gasoline Site, Benzene was reduced from 140 mg/kg to BDL (Below Detectable Levels), Toluene was reduced from 2000 mg/kg to BDL, Ethylbenzene was reduced from 810 mg/kg to 0.64mg/kg, and Total Xylene was reduced from 5500 mg/kg to 8.4 mg/kg. On this site the levels of contamination ranged from 4 times above the Minimum Standard to as high as 12 times the standard. Within the first five days, all levels were below the standard and all contaminants have been converted into harmless biologically produced enzymes, CO₂, and H₂O.

Other key factors identified during the trial period that positively influenced the performance of the product was;

- Stable air & soil temperatures. As the air & soil temperatures are reduced, performance of the product will slow.
- Beneficial rainfall. During the first 14 days of treatment, the site received light rainfall either daily or every other day. Moisture is a critical component to biological activity and is why it is important to know that during severe summer months, treatment areas may need to be moistened periodically to achieve maximum results.
- Drench application. As mentioned above, moisture is a critical tool in the success of the application. Drenching the area with a high volume of solution enables the product to penetrate the same cracks and crevices in the soil that the contaminate will penetrate.
- Type of contaminate and level of contamination. Through this real world trial and through our lab tests, the higher the processing done to the hydrocarbon, the easier it is to neutralize and remediate the area. Heavier, unprocessed crude may require additional treatments to achieve the same results as on fuel grade hydrocarbons. Conditions as previously mentioned will be more important for maximum performance for less processed hydrocarbon contamination.

In conclusion, this trial validated that a natural, environmentally safe, non-toxic, non-polluting product can outperform conventional practices. For pricing and specific application recommendations, contact Daniel J Burdette at 3 Tier Technologies LLC by calling 877-710-6953 or by email at dburdette@3tiertech.com.